

Are you satisfied with the interpretation of equal respect on page 8?:

Not quite.

Are the issues concerning the level of provision on pages 9-10 adequately tackled?:

Not really Is the advice in each section clear and helpful?:

No, it's not.

Are the suggestions from page 14 for the content of a Gaelic language plan comprehensive enough and appropriate to the requirements of Gaelic development?:

No

Are the percentages proposed on pages 18-19 and page 22 for the triggering of various policy provision and employment requirements, realistic and appropriate?:

No. These are meaningless. You should explain how the specific percentages were worked out. And the expression 'significant percentage' doesn't mean anything at all. That's something that would have to be clarified properly.

I agree for my views to be made public: Yes

I agree for my name and area to be made public: Yes

The main problem in the guidance seems to be the percentage figures for triggering responses from authorities and especially the term 'significant'. These should be clarified and explained.

The question of attempting quantitative appraisal of non-quantitative aspects of responsibility for Gaelic should be addressed.

For example, there should be emphasis on reasonableness. Where the Bord thinks it reasonable -- for example in relation to a national park where there might not be enough Gaelic spoken on a local percentage basis but where Gaelic visitor numbers or tradition and heritage responsibilities should be made clear.

John Campbell
Coit Darach
Loinn Bhuilg
An Aghaidh Mhor
PH22 1PZ

You have my permission for full use of these comments.